



आदेश का क्रम संख्या और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में दिखानी तारीख के साथ
1	2	3

-1:-

IN THE COURT OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, RANCHI

S.A.R. Appeal No.-149 R 15/2014-15

State - Appellant

Vs

Choudhary Charan Saw & Others - Respondents

ORDER

37
34.05.17

This appeal has been suo-motto preferred by the State against the order passed in SAR Case No.-294/13-14 by the Sri Matiyas Vijay Toppo, the then Learned Special Officer, Schedule Area Regulation, Ranchi, wherein the Learned Special Officer, Ranchi vide its order dated 25.10.2013 validated the transfer with respect of land appertaining to Khata No.-28, Plot No.-113 measuring an area of 5 3/4 Katha of Village Chatakpur, P.S. Ratu, within the District of Ranchi in favour of the present respondents namely (1) Choudhary Charan Saw Son of Nunu Saw, (2) Ashok Saw Son of Thakur Saw, (3) Radha Devi Wife of Shambhu Saw All Resident of Village Chatakpur, P.S. Ratu, District Ranchi upon payment of compensation at the rate of Rs.70,000/- Per Decimals.

The facts giving rise to present appeal in nutshell is that, present perform respondents namely Mangal Oraon Son of Late Jagna Oraon Resident of Village Chatakpur, P.S. Ratu, District Ranchi being the legal heirs and successors of the recorded tenant preferred an application U/s 71A of the CNT Act for restoration of land under Khata No.-28, Plot No.-113 measuring an area of 5 3/4 Katha of Village Chatakpur, P.S. Ratu, within the District of Ranchi against the present respondents, which was registered as impugned

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature of Authorised Persons



ASSOCIATION, RANCHI

आदेश का या सचवा और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई करवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ
1	2	3

-:2:-

Case bearing SAR Case No.-294/13-14, wherein and whereunder the Learned Special officer, Ranchi vide impugned order validated transfer of land measuring an area of 2 Katha, 1 1/2 Katha and 2 Katha under Khata No.-28, Plot No.-113 of Village Chatakpur, P.S. Ratu, within the District of Ranchi respectively in favour of the respondents no.-1 to 3 namely (1) Choudhary Charan Saw, (2) Ashok Saw and (3) Radha Devi upon payment of compensation at the rate of Rs. 70,000/- Per Decimals

Recently, upon enquiry conducted by the team experts certain irregularities were detected in the impugned proceeding and since the State Government is the custodian of the property belonging to member of Schedule tribe, hence directions were issued to file this instant appeal.

It appears from the record that, inspite of several opportunities given to the respondent, no one appeared on behalf of them to argue the instant appeal, hence after hearing the State, the instant appeal was fixed for passing final order.

According to the Learned Government Pleader, appearing on behalf of the State, as soon as it was detected that, there was certain irregularities in passing of impugned order, an enquiry team of experts was constituted, who pointed out the irregularities conducted in passing of the impugned order and immediately thereafter the instant appeal has been preferred by the State being the custodian of the properties of Scheduled tribe, hence the delay incurred in preferred this appeal was beyond the control of the appellant and is fit to be condoned.

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature of Authorised Persons



ASSOCIATION, RANCHI



1

आदेश और उस तथ्यों और परिणत	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई गई कार्रवाई के बारे में दिनांक, तारीख के साथ संख्या
----------------------------------	--------------------------------	--

1 2 3

-:3:-

Further, it is submitted that, it has been claimed by the opp. Parties/ respondent that, applicant has himself admitted in the application as well as in his deposition that, the land in question has been transferred in favour of the ancestor of the respondents in the year 1942 through sada sale deed and since then there exists substantial structure over the land in question, but the Learned Courts below failed to consider that, according to the Bihar Schedule Area Regulation, 1969, the legislation has empowered the authority to ignore the admission made by the member of Schedule tribe unless corroborated through cogent evidence, and in case at hand, the Learned Special Officer, without corroborating the admission made by the member of Schedule tribe in the application and deposition with cogent evidence, has believed that the land in question was transferred through Sada Sale Deed in the year 1942 and there exist substantial structure before promulgation of the Regulation of 1969 and moreover, on perusal of record, it would be apparent that, the respondents have also failed to bring any cogent evidence regarding the valid procurement of the land in question and/or erection of substantial structure before the year 1969.

Further, it is contended that, the oral sale upon which the Learned Courts below has relied upon has got no legal sanctity, as according to Section 46 of the CNT Act, no land belonging to member of Schedule tribe can be transferred to a person belonging to member of non-schedule tribe and also according to Indian Registration Act, the oral sale has got no legal sanctity and the land in question has been transferred in violation of the provisions of

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature of Authorised Persons



ASSOCIATION, RANCHI



आदेश का प्र सफल और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख व साथ
1	2	3

-:4:-

CNT Act.

It is further averred that it is apparent from the report submitted by teams of experts constituted for determining the fact regarding erection of substantial structure over the land in question that, still construction upon the land in question is going on since the respondent have failed to prove through cogent evidences that the substantial structure standing upon the land in question has been erected prior of the promulgation of the Schedule Area Regulation, 1969, hence it can safely be inferred that, the Courts below has illegally validated the transfer in favour of the respondent on the pretext that substantial structure has been erected prior to promulgation of Schedule Area Regulation..

Further, it is submitted that on the basis of aforesaid, it can safely be inferred that, the impugned order is an outcome of fraud and misrepresentation and, in this context, according to the verdict laid by our Hon'ble High Court - reported in BLJR 1970 (1) 216, that, "It is settled proposition of law that a judgement or decree obtained by playing fraud on the court is a nullity and non est in the eyes of law, such judgement/decree - by the first court or by the high court - has to be treated as a nullity by every court, whether superior or inferior. It can be challenged in any court even in collateral proceedings."

Having regard to the discussions made hereinabove, I find that, the impugned order has been passed in haste without following the proper procedure laid U/s 71 A of the CNT Act. It is settled law that, according to Provision II of Section 71A of the CNT

[Handwritten Signature]

RANCHI DISTRICT BAR



ASSOCIATION, RANCHI

Signature of Authorised Persons

[Handwritten Signature]

10

आदेश का
आदि संख्या और
तारीख

आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर

आदेश पर की गई
कार्रवाई के बारे में
टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ

1

2

3

-5-

Act, where the Deputy Commissioner is satisfied that the transferee has constructed a substantial structure before coming in force of Bihar Schedule Area 1969, he may notwithstanding any other provision of the Act, validate such transfer either upon payment of adequate compensation determined by the Commissioner for rehabilitation or making available to the Scheduled tribe an alternate holding or portion thereof of the equivalent value in the vicinity, but in case at hand, the Learned Special Officer without corroborating the admission made by the member of Schedule tribe through cogent evidence has relied that the land in question has been transferred through Sada Sale Deed and there exists substantial structure upon the land in question before promulgation of the Schedule Area Regulation, 1969. Moreover the sada sale deed upon which the respondent has based their claim has got no evidentiary value in the eyes of law and since the respondent has failed to bring on record any cogent evidence which could prove that substantial structure has been erected prior to promulgation of Schedule Area Regulation, hence the respondent is not entitled get relief envisaged under Proviso II of Section 71A of the CNT Act and since the respondent has failed to prove that substantial structure standing upon the land in question has been erected before the promulgation of Schedule Area Regulation, 1969 and moreover it is apparent from the report and photographs submitted by teams of experts, still construction upon the land in question is going on, which suggest that the substantial structure standing upon the land in question was not erected prior of the year 1969.

Handwritten signature

RANCHI DISTRICT BAR



ASSOCIATION, RANCHI

Signature of Authorised Persons

Handwritten signature

आदेश का प्र. संख्या और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर ली गई प्रतियाँ के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ
1	2	3

-:6:-

Hence, on the basis of aforesaid, it can safely be inferred that, the impugned order is bad, irregular and was obtained by fraud and mis-representation and is nonest in the eyes of law.

For these reasons, after condoning the delay, this appeal is allowed and the impugned order passed by the Learned Courts below is hereby set-aside and the authority below is directed to restore the possession of the land in question in favour of the present performa respondent.

Communicate this order to the Learned Special Officer, Schedule Area Regulation, Ranchi for information and necessary action.

Dictated & Corrected
[Signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Ranchi

[Signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Ranchi

Steno no 1511 (11) dated - 13.6.17
copy of the order dt 14.5.17 is being
forwarded to S.A.R officer Ranchi
alongwith S.A.R case no 2941 13-14 (Mangal
Boro vs choudhry charan s/o and others)
for information and necessary action.

[Signature]
by collector g/c
12.6.17 - Legal Section
Ranchi

RANCHI DISTRICT BAR ASSOCIATION, RANCHI



Signature of Authorised Persons

[Signature]