

(5)

केस का सं० और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ
1	2	3

IN THE COURT OF THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER – CUM – DEPUTY
COMMISSIONER, RANCHI

F.S.S. Case No.-11/2017-18

State

Through Sri Krishna Prasad Singh

Food Safety Officer,

C.S Office, Sadar Hospital Campus, Ranchi Complainant

-Versus-

(1) Managing Director

Ushodaya Enterprises Pvt Ltd (Food Division)

6-3-569/3 Eenadu Complex, Sumajiguda

Hyderabad – 500082 (TS)

2) Mr Utpal Mazumdar

Ware House Incharge

3) Assistant Mr Shashi Kant Singh

Son of Mr Ram Naresh Singh

Ushodaya Enterprises Pvt Ltd (Food Division)

Door No.-630/B, Choudhary Bagan

Harmu Road Ranchi Accused

ORDER

Present proceeding has been initiated upon the application
for adjudication submitted vide Memo No.-148 dated 18.08.2017
by the Food Safety Officer, Ranchi and countersigned by the

24
28-8-17

Fay

केस का सं० और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ
1	2	3

Designate Officer, Ranchi , wherein and whereunder they have prayed to summon the accused persons to stand trial and be punished U/s 51 of the Food Safety and Standard Act, 2006.

As per the application for adjudication, the complainant is appointed as Food Safety Officer under the provisions of the Food Safety and Standard Act, 2006 and is presently posted in Ranchi and employed to collect samples of food for analysis. On 29.07.2017 at 03:30 PM, the Complainant inspected the shop of the accused no.-1, where he found that the accused no.-2 was selling of food in the shop. The complainant intended to take the sample of Ramya Mix Veg Pickle for the purpose of analysis and issued notice to the accused under Form V(A) intimating his intention. The complainant then purchased 200 grms X 4 packets of Ramya Mix Veg Pickel for the purpose of analysis and thereafter the complainant divided the sample of the food into four parts in packets container and sealed in prescribed manner and wrapped the sample packets with paper slips, duly signed by the Designated Officer bearing serial and Code RAN/1474 and took the signature of the accused on the paper slip and wrapper of the sample packets and thereafter the complainant prepared memorandum in form VI and sent part of the sealed sample alongwith Form VI to Food Analyst for analysis and the remaining parts of the same alongwith Form VI were submitted with the Designated Officer.

As per the report of the Food Analyst bearing Report No.- CM:242/FSSAI/2017, it has been opined that sample in question taken for analysis i.e. Pickles is sub-standard U/s 3 (zx) of the Food

[Handwritten signature]

6

केस का सं० ओर तारिख	आदेश ओर पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारिख के साथ
------------------------	--------------------------------	--

1

2

3

Safety and Standard Act, 2006, in view of the fact that as per the prescribed standard as per the provision of the FSS Act Rules and Regulation the fruits and vegetables pieces should be submerged in oil, but in sample in question the same was not found submerged in oil.

On the last date even on repeated call, no one appeared on behalf of the accused to argue on behalf accused, hence the case was fixed for passing final order.

Having gone through the record and on perusal of report I find that, as per the prescribed standard as per the provision of the FSS Act Rules and Regulation the fruits and vegetables pieces should be submerged in oil, but in sample in question the same was not found submerged in oil so it is beyond doubt that, the sample in question taken for analysis is substandard U/s 3 (zx) of FSS Act, 2006 and the Accused persons are guilty of Offence U/s 51 of the FSS Act, 2006, hence taking into consideration the amount of gain and unfair advantage, made as a result of the contravention of the provisions of the Act of 2006 by the accused, this proceeding is concluded with a fine of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only)

Let demand notice be issued upon the accused for recovery of the said penalty in the name of Adjudication Officer-Cum-Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi through Demand Draft and in case the accused fails to deposit the penalty within the time stipulated in the demand notice, the authority concern shall recover the penalty

Ray

केस का सं० ओर तारीख	आदेश ओर पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ
1	2	3

by instituting certificate case under the Bihar Public Demand Recovery Act against the accused as provided U/s 96 of the Food Safety & Standard Act of 2006 and till then the license (if any) of the defaulter shall remain suspended. Further the Designated Officer shall also ensure that, as per Section 31 of the Act of 2006, the accused shall not commence or carry on any food business during the suspension of the Food License of the Accused

Communicate this order to the Food Safety Officer and Designated Officer for information and needful.

Dictated and corrected by me

Ray

Authorized Officer - Cum -
Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi

Ray

Authorized Officer - Cum -
Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi

*Order communicated to
Designated officer
cum S.D.O. Saahil
Food safety
officer Ranchi for
information and
n.g. wide remond
15/11/19
dt-4/9/19
4/9/19*