

केस का सं0 और तारिख	आदेश ओर पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारिख के साथ
1	2	3

IN THE COURT OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, RANCHI
Fraudulent Registration Case No.-04/2018-19

Suman Kumari D/o Dinanath Prasad
R/o Village Kokar, P.S. Kokar, District Ranchi Petitioner

-Versus-

1. Srikant Kedia Son of Late Chirndi Lal Kedia
R/o Main Road, P.S. Daily Market, Ranchi
2. The Circle Officer, Ormanjhi Anchal, ... Respondent

ORDER

Present proceeding U/s 82 and 83 of the Indian Registration Act, 1908 has been initiated upon the proposal made by the Sub-Registrar, Rural Area, Ranchi vide his Letter No.-87 dated 16.03.2018, wherein and whereunder the Sub-Registrar, upon the complainant petition filed by petitioner above named has recommended for annulment of Deed No.- 886/821 dated 29.11.2012 and Deed No.-6493/6054 dated 21.10.2016 claiming the same to have been fraudulently executed by Keshav Narayan Singh Son of Late Manbodh Singh R/o Village Vankheta, P.S. & District Ranchi at present residing at Village Pundag, P.S. Ormanjhi, District Ranchi through his constituted attorney Shamsud Doha, Son of Late Haider Ali R/o Village Kute, P.S, Ormanjhi, Hal Thana-Sikidiri, District Ranchi with respect of land under Khata No.-22, Plot No.-208 and 203 measuring an area of 77 Decimals and 67 decimals respectively of Village Pundag, P.S. Ormanjhi, District Ranchi in favour of Srikant Kedia Son of Late Chiranji Lal Kedia, R/o Lalpur, P.S. Lalpur, District Ranchi and Sale Deed No.- 6418/5985 dated 14.10.2016 fraudulently executed by Srikant Kedia Son of Late Chiranji Lal Kedia, R/o Lalpur, P.S. Lalpur, District Ranchi with respect of 10 Decimals out of aforesaid land under Khata No.-22, Plot No.-208 of Village Pundag in favour of Sri Upendra Kumar Son

32
19.12.2020

केस का सं० और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ
1	2	3

2

of Banwari Pandey R/o Bandgari, Dipatoli, P.S. Sadar, District Ranchi.

Heard the instant case alongwith Mutation Revision No.- 5 R 15/2017-18 preferred by the present petitioner against the present Opp Party with respect of the aforementioned land in question. In course of hearing, the respondent has informed that a Title Suit No.-361 of 2017 with a prayer for declaration of right, title, interest upon the land in question and for getting the sale deeds being Sale Deed No.-8010 dated 15.04.2010 executed with respect of land in question in favour of the petitioner is already pending before the competent court of law. Since in a proceeding U/s 82 and 83 of the Registration Act, right, title or interest of the parties concerned on the basis of their conflicting claim cannot be decided, hence for the reasons aforementioned the instant proceeding U/s 82 and 83 of the Registration Act is not maintainable and so the same is hereby dropped.

Communicate the order to the District Sub-Registrar, Ranchi for information and necessary action.

Dictated and Corrected by



Deputy Commissioner
Ranchi


 Deputy Commissioner
Ranchi

केस का सं० ओर तारिख	आदेश ओर पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारिख के साथ
1	2	3

16.12.2020

IN THE COURT OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, RANCHI

Mutation Revision Case No.-47 R 15/2019-20

Nazbul Haque @ Nazbul Ansari Son of Late Juman Miyan
R/o Village Husir, P.S. Kanke, District Ranchi Petitioner
-Versus-

- 1- State
 - 2- Naresh Kumar Gupta Son of Radheyshyam Agarwal
 - 3- Smt Rekha Agarwal Wife of Sri Naresh Kumar Gupta
- Both Resident of Dwarika Vihar, Block Chowk, Arsande, P.S. Kanke
District Ranchi Respondent

ORDER

Present mutation revision has been preferred against the order dated 29.07.2019 passed by the Learned Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Bundu, Ranchi in Mutation Appeal No.-105 R 15 of 2018-19, wherein and whereunder the learned Land Reforms Deputy Collector vide impugned order allowed the appeal preferred by the present respondent no.-2 and 3 and thereby set-aside the order dated 12.06.2018 passed by the learned Circle Officer, Kanke Anchal, whereby the application for mutation being registered as Mutation Case No. 643 R 27/2018-19 preferred by the present respondent no.-2 & 3 with respect of land under Khata No.-27, Plot No.-1548 area 07 Decimals of Village Husir, Thana No.-157, District Ranchi was rejected.

Heard the petitioner on the points of admission. According to the learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the land appertaining to R.S. Khata No. 27 Plot No. 1548 measuring a total area of 47 decimals situated at village Husir, P.S. Kanke, Thana No. 157, District Ranchi, has been recorded in R.S. record of right in the names of Sheikh Kariman Son of Sheikh Kolha, Sheikh Nasiruddin Son of Sheikh Hassan, Mosomat Sakina W/o Sheikh Balku, and Sheikh Gandauri Son of Sheikh Goyal as 'Kayami' land and as per the remarks column the land in question under Khata No. 27 Plot No. 1548 along with several plots has been shown in Khas possession of one of the recorded raiyats namely Sheikh Kariman Son of Shekh Kolha and the present petitioner Nazbul

केस का सं० ओर तारिख	आदेश ओर पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारिख के साथ
1	2	3

2

Haque being the legal heirs and successors of aforesaid Sheikh Kariman is in peaceful possession of the land in question. The respondent claim that they have purchased the land measuring an area of 07 decimals out of plot no. 1548 Khata No. 27 in village Husir, from the legal heirs of one Shekh Khedan through their appointed power of attorney holder namely Jahangir Ansari son of Tafaizul Ansari on the basis of a forged and fabricated power of attorney being power no. 994/134 duly entered in book no. IV, Volume 9 pages 61 to 98 for the year 2016, but neither said Sheikh Khedan nor his any legal heirs ever came in possession over portion of any plots of R.S. Khata No. 27 of village Husir including plot no. 1548 and the claim of the respondents is totally false, concocted, manufactured and based on forged and fabricated documents.

Having heard the petitioner and on perusal of material available on record, I find that, the petitioner has not been made party either in the Mutation Appeal or in the Mutation Proceeding and it appears that he has got no locus standi to challenge the impugned orders. Hence I find that the instant revision is not maintainable and is hereby dismissed at admission stage itself and the impugned order passed in Mutation Appeal No.-105 R 15 of 2018-19 is hereby upheld.

Communicate this order to the Land Reforms Deputy Collector Sadar for information and needful.

Dictated and corrected by me


Deputy Commissioner
Ranchi


Deputy Commissioner
Ranchi