

केस का सं० ओर तारिख	आदेश ओर पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारिख के साथ
1	2	3
	1	

IN THE COURT OF THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER – CUM – DEPUTY
COMMISSIONER, RANCHI

F.S.S. Case No.-14/2018-19

State

Through Sri L.B. Singh

Food Safety Officer,

AMCO Office, Sadar Hospital Campus, Ranchi Complainant

-Versus-

1) Sri Alok Sukla

Owner of Mahi Restaurant

2) Shaiyad Shahbajuddin

Manager Mahi Resturant

Harmu – Argora Bye Pass Road

Ranchi – 834002 Accused

ORDER

Present proceeding has been initiated upon the application for adjudication submitted vide Memo No.-108 dated 11.04.2018 by the Food Safety Officer, Ranchi and countersigned by the Designate Officer, Ranchi, wherein and whereunder they have prayed to summon the accused persons to stand trial and be punished U/s 51 of the Food Safety and Standard Act, 2006.

As per the application for adjudication, the complainant is appointed as Food Safety Officer under the provisions of the Food Safety and Standard Act, 2006 and is presently posted in Ranchi

13
28-8-19

केस का सं० ओर तारिख	आदेश ओर पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई व. के बारे में टिप्पणी, ता. के साथ
1	2	3

and employed to collect samples of food for analysis. On 03.01.2018 at 12:35 PM, the Complainant inspected the shop of the accused no.-1, where he found that the accused no.-2 was selling of food in the shop. The complainant intended to take the sample of Paneer for the purpose of analysis and issued notice to the accused under Form V(A) intimating his intention. The complainant then purchased 200 Grams X 4 Packet of Paneer for the purpose of analysis on payment of a price of Rs.240/- (Rupees Two Hundred Forty Only) and thereafter the complainant divided the sample of the food into four parts in packets container and sealed in prescribed manner and wrapped the sample packets with paper slips, duly signed by the Designated Officer bearing serial and Code RAN/1605 and took the signature of the accused on the paper slip and wrapper of the sample packets and thereafter the complainant prepared memorandum in form VI and sent part of the sealed sample alongwith Form VI to Food Analyst for analysis and the remaining parts of the same alongwith Form VI were submitted with the Designated Officer.

As per the report of the Food Analyst bearing Report No.- CM:02/FSSAI/2018, it has been opined that sample in question taken for analysis i.e. Paneer is substandard U/s 3 (zx) of FS&S Act, 2006 in view of the fact that as per the prescribed standard as per the provision of the FSS Act Rules and Regulation, the milk fat on dry basis should be less than 50.0% but infact the sample in question contained onl 45.0% of the milk fat on dry basis.

On the last date even on repeated call, no one appeared on



क्र. सं० / तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ
1	2 3	3

behalf of the accused to argue on behalf accused, hence the case was fixed for passing final order.

Having gone through the record and on perusal of report I find that, the sample in question taken for analysis i.e. Paneer is substandard U/s 3 (zx) of FS&S Act, 2006 in view of the fact that as per the prescribed standard as per the provision of the FSS Act Rules and Regulation, the milk fat on dry basis should be less than 50.0% but infact the sample in question contained onl 45.0% of the milk fat on dry basis and so the Accused persons are guilty of Offence U/s 51 of the FSS Act, 2006, hence taking into consideration the amount of gain and unfair advantage, made as a result of the contravention of the provisions of the Act of 2006 by the accused, this proceeding is concluded with a fine of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only)

Let demand notice be issued upon the accused for recovery of the said penalty in the name of Adjudication Officer-Cum-Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi through Demand Draft and in case the accused fails to deposit the penalty within the time stipulated in the demand notice, the authority concern shall recover the penalty by instituting certificate case under the Bihar Public Demand Recovery Act against the accused as provided U/s 96 of the Food Safety & Standard Act of 2006 and till then the license (if any) of the defaulter shall remain suspended. Further the Designated Officer shall also ensure that, as per Section 31 of the Act of 2006, the accused shall not commence or carry on any food business





केस का सं० ओर तारिख	आदेश ओर पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पर की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारिख के साथ
1	2 4	3

during the suspension of the Food License of the Accused

Communicate this order to the Food Safety Officer and Designated Officer for information and needful.

Dictated and corrected by me


Adjudicating Officer – Cum –
Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi.


Adjudicating Officer – Cum –
Deputy Commissioner, Ranchi.