

OK  
25/10/2020

(10)

लत, अनुमंडल पदाधिकारी, महागामा (गोड्डा)

शर0ई0आर0 केश नं0- 103/16-17

एतवारी रविदास बनाम् दुर्गा रविदास

	29.11.17	13.12.17	8.7.16
		12.1.17	27.7.16
		9.2.18	10.8.16
		7.3.18	2.9.16
		28.3.18	7.10.16
		22.4.18	9.11.16
		8.5.18	9.12.16
		25.5.18	6.1.17
		15.6.18	8.2.17
24.1.20		12.7.18	27.2.17
4.3.20		19.7.18	17.3.17
17.4.20		22.10.18	5.4.17
		26.11.18	19.5.17
15.2.22		18.12.18	26.5.17
19.2.22		17.1.19	2.6.17
4.3.22		12.2.19	16.6.17
15.3.22		8.3.19	28.6.17
		9.4.19	6.7.17
		14.5.19	13.7.17
		11.6.19	28.7.17
		5.7.19	23.8.17
		6.8.19	15.9.17
		11.9.19	6.10.17
		18.10.19	25.10.17
			10.11.17
			24.11.17
			72

55

20.8.22

अभिनेता उमरगिरी

मीराहीन मलाधिकारी कायदा के  
अन्तर्गत प्र. जापान माला नही  
संका है। मीराहीन मलाधिकारी का  
समानांतरता है। अभिनेता  
पुनः अद्य हेतु 2204 अद्य माला  
अधिकार का अन्तर्गत  
दिना 27.8.22 को 2204

अभिलेखित

27.8.22

जापान के अलावा बाकी माला  
विपरीत की अलावा बाकी माला

Heard learned counsel for both parties.

Also perused the report - of the concerned  
Co. concerned Co has reported that - the  
land (in question) Manya - Babna, Thana no 692,  
GM Khata no ~~30~~ 24, Dag no. 32, Rakwa 169-05-12  
Shur kism Part kadim is recorded in Changer  
Survey Khatiyan.

As per CO report, the land in question has been settled with Durga Ravidas (Bandobast case no. 90/1989-90). and is also in possession of the 2nd party. Upon careful hearing of both parties and perusal of the report of concerned CO, the petition of the petitioner is ~~rejected~~ dismissed. The similar dispute between the same parties has been dealt with in the Court of the Deputy Commissioner (RMR-3/94-95) the petitioner may appeal in the competent appellate court in case of any grievance(s) and not in the Court of SDM. Case is, thus, disposed of.

27.08.22

Subhash chandran choudhary  
Adv.  
08.09.2022

Seem Nigam  
08/09/2022  
Advocate

8/15