

(1)

IN THE COURT OF COMMISSIONER SANTHAL PARGANA
DIVISION, DUMKA

Settlement Correction Case No. – 253/2013-14

Jai Kishan Layek & Others ----- Petitioners

:- Versus :-

Shambhu Rana & Others ----- O.Ps.

27/12/2021

ORDER

This instant application u/s 25 (3) (4) of the Settlement Regulation III of 1872 has been filed for correction in remarks column of Dakhal in new Final Purcha J.B. No. – 27 of Mouza – Silanda under P.S. – Jama, Dist. – Dumka.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that Gantzer's J.B. No. – 44 of Mouza - Silanda recorded in the names of Ritu Rana S/o Bikram Rana, Kali Pd. Rana, S/o Prayag Rana and Mangali Rana W/o Udasi Rana jointly in the Raiyati column and separately in Dakhal column. Gantzer's plot no. – 186, 187, 992 are recorded in separate, exclusive Dakhal of R.T.

6

(2)

Mangali Rana, whereas plot no. - 258 recorded in the name of R.T. Mangali Rana and Kalicharan Rana jointly. Petitioners are the legal heirs and successors of R.T. Mangali Rana, but during Tasdik Sabik plot no. - 186, 187 converted as single new plot no. - 8 and recorded in the name of Laxhman Rana, father of the O.Ps. No. - 1, 2, 3 and Sabik plot no. - 992 converted as new plot no. - 840 recorded as Ijmal, which are wrong and required to be recorded in the name of the petitioners only.

Learned counsel submitted that Sabik plot no. - 258 converted as new plot no. - 191 recorded in the name of Laxhman Rana and others opposite 1st party, which is wrong and desired to be recorded in the names of the petitioners along with O.P. 1st party. The new Purcha has been published finally and this court got jurisdiction over the matter and issue. Hence pray for record Separate Dakhal in the names of the petitioners in respect of plot no. - 8/186,187, 191/258 and jointly in the names of petitioners, opposite 1st party in respect of new plot no. - 840/992.

Learned counsel for the opposite parties submitted that last Settlement Recorded Tenant Mangali Rana is



dead, current Jamabandi No. - 27 of Mouza - Silanda should be recorded in the names of her successors Fuleshwar Layak not in the name of Laxhman Rana. R.T. Mangali and R.T. Kali Prasad Rana are not alive, hence current parcha relating to their joint surviving heirs name be recorded in new purcha plot no. - 249 recorded as Bandh in joint names of Most. Mangali and Kali Prasad Rana, but in finally published purcha of J.B. No. - 27, plot no. - 249 renumbered as current plot no. - 324 wrongly recorded only in the name of Fuleshwar Layak, which is illegal in the eye of law, hence pray for dismissal the case.

Heard learned lawyers of both parties and perusal of documents I find Gantzer's J.B. No. - 44 of Mouza - Silanda, Anchal - Jama, Dist. - Dumka stands recorded in the names of Ritu Rana, Kali Prasad Rana, Mangali Rana with separate Dakhal Kiyari in Dakhal column. I find Gantzer's plot no. - 186, 187 and 992 are exclusive Dakhal of R.T. Mangali Rana, whereas plot no. - 258 is recorded in the names of R.T. Mangali Rana and Kali Prasad Rana jointly. Petitioners are the legal heirs and successors of R.T. Mangali Rana, whereas opposite 1st party are the heirs of Kali Prasad Rana. During the publication of Final

6

(4)

Purcha some mistake have been occurred in recording of possession over the new plot no. - 8/186,187, 191/258, 840/992, thereafter these petitioners filed this Settlement Correction Case. I find opposite party also accepted the claim of the petitioners and wants to make correction in Possession Column. I find the claim of the petitioners are genuine, correct and according to recording the possession of Gantzer's Settlement Purcha.

Hence case is Allowed.

Dictated and Corrected by me.


27/12/2021
Commissioner

S.P. Division, Dumka.


27/12/2021
Commissioner
S.P. Division, Dumka.